

KENT STATE

Infusing Inclusion across an Evolving Ecosystem

Presented by
Michael A. Kavulic, Ph.D.
Director, Research Strategic Initiatives

Amanda L. F. Weyant, M. Ed. Director, Student Accessibility Services



Objectives

- Discover ways to tailor your communication style to align with competing priorities.
- Identify avenues for considering accessibility in data gathering, analysis, and dissemination processes to prompt institutional change.
- Contextualize the lessons learned from Kent State's experiences to develop relationships with key stakeholders in accessibility work at your own campuses.



Bottom-Up Political Actions (Bolman and Deal, 2003)

- Interdependent Networks
 - An ecosystem is made of interdependent relationships based on the need to leverage resources controlled by others.
 - Other actors may have divergent interests and agendas.
 - All of these conditions create rules of engagement that we must understand in order to play in the arena, advance our interests, and achieve our goals.
- Significant Disruption as an Impetus for Change
- Change Unfreezing old patterns and intensifying dissatisfaction



Evolving Approach to a University-wide Accessibility Committee

Americans with Disabilities Act Committee

- Created in late '90s by President Cartwright
- Operated from a compliance mindset, focused on ADA

ADA Committee, Recommissioned

- Fell dormant until 2011 when it was recommissioned by three Vice-Presidents
 - Diversity, Equity & Inclusion
 - Enrollment Management & Student Affairs
 - Finance and Administration
- Charged to "provide the moral conscious to the university"

Accessibility Committee

- Name changed in 2012/13 to reflect the evolving scope of work of the committee
- Designed to cross multiple functional areas and involves faculty, staff, and students
- Structure: Co-chairs and subcommittees



Building Intentional Networks & Fostering Sustainable Relationships

- Leadership Transitions
- University Climate Survey
- Institutional and National Trends



Leadership Transitions

- President
- Vice-Presidents
 - Turn-over
 - Addition
 - Approach to relationship
- Natural co-chairs transitions
- New SAS director
- Various other transitions across institutional partners
 - HR, Architects, etc.



University Climate Survey

- Results directly related to the experiences of persons with disabilities
- Connection with Accessibility Committee
- Leveraging data



Emerging Institutional and National Trends

- Kent State of Wellness
- JED
- Electronic and Information Technology Emphasis
- Assistance animals
- Global Education
- Universal restrooms



Current State of University Accessibility Committee

- Change in Co-chair
- Subcommittee structure into workgroups
- Annual Event: Kent State of Accessibility
- Physical plant audit
- Relationship with Information Services
- Clearer support from VPs
 - Annual report meeting with VPs



Lessons Learned

Within committee

- Adapt structures as needed
- Stay mindful of representation and voices

Between committee and others

- Look for opportunities to educate people at all levels
- Stay diligent in identifying potential disruptions
- Identify future collaborations and work toward building relationships long-term
- Pay attention to the interdependent relationships, rules of engagement, and opportunities found in disruption

Between individuals

- Get to know other players and their backgrounds
- Tell stories to build empathy



Application to Your Institution

- What opportunities for disruption can you identify in the near future?
- Are there organizations or individuals with whom you can build relationships to maximize disruption?
- How can you proactively foster those relationships to prepare for potential disruption?





Thank You.

www.kent.edu